

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,140	06/08/2001	Yoshihiko Hotta	65246 CCD	1290	
75	590 07/10/2003				
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			EXAMINER		
	5 Ave. of the Americas v York, NY 10036 HESS, BRUC		RUCE H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	Я	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		A
		0 Hot	to et	al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	Bruce	ress	1774	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover shee	beneath the con	espondence a	address —
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE M	AILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, be Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	lays, a reply within the statutory y default, expire SIX (6) MONTH: I, by statute, cause the applicati	minimum of thirty (30) 5 from the mailing dat on to become ABAND	days will be con e of this commur ONED (35 U.S.C	sidered timely. lication. § 133).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•
☐ This action is FIRAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayl 			the merits is	closed in
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1-26		is/are pe	nding in the ap	plication.
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are wit	hdrawn from c	onsideration.
Of the above claim(s)				onsideration.
		is/are allo	wed.	onsideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are rejo	owed. ected.	onsideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are rejo is/are obj	owed. ected. jected to. ect to restriction	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ K. Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are rejo is/are obj are subje requirem	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approve	is/are allo is/are rejuis/are rejuis/are objection are subjection requirem	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ☒ Claim(s) ☒ pplication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is □ approve	is/are allo is/are rejuis/are rejuis/are objection are subjection requirem	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ☒ Claim(s) ☒ Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin	is/are allo is/are rejuis/are rejuis/are objection are subjection requirem	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ☒ Claim(s) ☒ pplication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin	is/are allo is/are rejuis/are rejuis/are objection are subjection requirem	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ X Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is □ approve objected to by the Examination	is/are allo	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ X. Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority.	is □ approve objected to by the Examination	is/are allo	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ X. Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) X. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign principles.	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is/are allo	owed. ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent	and
□ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) □ (d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign property of the Science of the Science of the Priority documents have	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin iner. nority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received.	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin iner. nority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received. been received in Application	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is approve e objected to by the Examin iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received. been received in Application	is/are allo is/are rejo is/are rejo is/are rejo is/are object is/are ob	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/arc □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/arc □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) □ (d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is approve e objected to by the Examin iner. nority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received. been received in Application tuments have been received national Bureau (PCT Rule 1	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/arc □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr ☒ All □ Some* □ None of the: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is approve e objected to by the Examin iner. nority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received. been received in Application tuments have been received national Bureau (PCT Rule 1	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copi	is approve objected to by the Examination. iner. iner. been received. been received in Application of the Examination of th	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/arc □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr ☒ All □ Some* □ None of the: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is □ approve e objected to by the Examin iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 been received. been received in Application tuments have been received national Bureau (PCT Rule 1	is/are allo	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restrictionent	and
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties of the priority documents have □ Certified copies of the priority documents have □ Copies of the certified copi	is approve objected to by the Examination. iner. iner. been received. been received in Application or the suments have been received attional Bureau (PCT Rule 1).	is/are allo is/are rejo is/are rejo is/are rejo is/are obj are subje requirem d □ disapproved er	owed. ected. iected to. ict to restrictionent	and Telection

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

5/

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-23, drawn to article and method of using the same, classified in class 503, subclass 206.
 - II. Claims 24-26, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 346, subclass 76PH.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product/process of using a product and apparatus for practicing the process. The inventions are distinct because the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process (e.g., image and delete a reversible recording medium employing leuco dyes and color developers therefore).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. In the event of the election of the Group I invention only, the following election of species is also required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Thermo reversible recording medium and process of using the same wherein the organic lower molecular weight substance is selected from:

- a. Compounds "(1)";
- b. Compounds "(2)";

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

- c. Compounds "(3)";
- d. Compounds "(4)";
- e. Compounds "(5)";
- f. Compounds "(6)";
- g. Compounds "(7)";
- h. Compounds "(8)"; or
- i. Compounds "(9)";

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (703) 308-2402.

B. Hess/dh June 10, 2003

> BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER